

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING
OF THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION
OF THE CITY OF SUNSET HILLS, MISSOURI
HELD ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2016

BE IT REMEMBERED that the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Sunset Hills, Missouri met in regular session in the Robert C. Jones Chambers of City Hall, 3939 S. Lindbergh Blvd., in said City on Wednesday, November 2, 2016. The meeting convened at 7:00 p.m.

The meeting began with those present standing for the reciting of the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

Present:

| | |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| Patricia Otto | -Member |
| Thomas Ortmann | -Member |
| Bill Hopfinger | -Member |
| Roger Kaiser | -Member |
| Terry Beiter | -Chairman |
| Mike Svoboda | -Member |
| Thomas Lynch | -Member |
| Steve Young | -Member |
| Todd Powers | -Member |
| Bryson Baker | -City Engineer |
| Lynn Sprick | -Assistant Planner |
| Robert E. Jones | -City Attorney |

Absent:

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Copies of the minutes of the October 5, 2016 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting were distributed to the members for their review. Mr. Hopfinger made a motion to approve the minutes as submitted Mr. Young seconded the motion, and it was unanimously approved.

Mr. Beiter welcomed Mr. Svoboda to the Commission.

NEW BUSINESS

Escrow release for 15 Roosevelt Drive for improvement plan escrow.

Mr. Baker stated that City staff is recommending to release 90% of the escrow being held for the improvement to 15 Roosevelt Drive. Adnan Sabic requested to do a Lot Split on the property, which is in the R-1 zoning district. Prior to doing the lot split, the R-1 zoning district requires 150 foot of frontage, so he was required to build a road. The Improvement Plans were approved and cul-de-sac was constructed at the private end of Roosevelt, off of Robyn Rd. An escrow in the amount of \$73,421.75 was submitted. According to the City's Code, 90% of the escrow can be released at the time the improvements are complete. The area has been graded and landscaped, but the earth is not established, yet, the remaining 10% will be held until it has been established.

Mrs. Otto made a motion that the escrow release for 15 Roosevelt Drive for improvement plan escrow, as stipulated by the City Engineer, be approved. Mr. Ortmann seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

P-33-16 Petition for an Amended Development Plan, submitted by PSI Atlantic STL MO LLC, to allow changes to the self-storage facility at 10300 Watson Road.

Beau Reinberg, with PSI Atlantic, and Garin Miller, with AGM Inc., were present. They took over the project about a month ago. PSI Atlantic builds self-service storage facilities. There were a few things in the previous plan that didn't work economically with the plan. They would like to maintain all loading and accessibility to the front of the building. There will be no access to the rear of the building, except for employees. Language previously approved a resident watchman. Due to a liability issue with a resident watchman, a high tech security system will be used instead.

Mr. Powers asked if the concrete would be replaced along the right of way on Watson Road when they do the improvements and curb cuts. He also asked if they would be replacing the concrete on the entries that they are keeping.

Mr. Reinberg replied yes, they will be filling in the middle curb cut and the sidewalks and parking lot will be replaced. All of it will be improved.

Mr. Beiter asked if there is anything in the plan that states the entrances will be replaced.

Mr. Baker replied no, it is not in the plans.

Mr. Lynch asked if there are three entrances.

Mr. Reinberg replied yes.

Mr. Lynch asked where the overhead doors are on the plans.

Mr. Reinberg showed him where they were and stated the doors will be installed.

Mr. Lynch asked if there would be trucks driving in.

Mr. Reinberg answered no and stated that was another design change. They do not want vehicles in the building. Accessibility is important; that's why there are three entrances.

Mr. Lynch asked if there are carts provided.

Mr. Reinberg replied yes.

Mr. Lynch asked why the stairs in the back of building are going to be enclosed and why they are taking the transformer from the ground to a pole.

Mr. Reinberg replied Ameren wants to put a new transformer in and relocate it from the ground area. They want to clean up the back of the building.

Mr. Lynch asked what they will be doing with the loading docks on the back of the building.

Mr. Reinberg stated they are closed and will not be used.

Mr. Lynch asked if only the employees will have the code for the gate on the east side of the building.

Mr. Reinberg replied yes.

Mr. Beiter asked if they would be wrought iron type of gates.

Mr. Reinberg answered yes.

Mr. Lynch pointed out that on page four of the proposal, there is an overhead view of the plot which states that customers would have access to the east side locking gates.

Mr. Reinberg stated that if a customer needed to be there for a long period of time, they wouldn't want them to be parked in the front, blocking the loading and unloading area. The manager would allow them to park on the east side and come in through that entrance.

Mr. Lynch pointed out, also on page four of the proposal; it is shown that a new vinyl fencing in lieu of chain link would be placed between this property and the adjacent residential properties.

Mr. Reinberg stated that currently there is a white, vinyl fence already on a portion of the property. The same fencing will be extended for the entire rear of the site and will also include the area behind the pet grooming store.

Mr. Lynch asked Mr. Miller to explain a portion of a letter he had written to Ms. Sprick. The portion of the letter in question stated that in order to taper the drives, the full width of the drive will be needed or the taper will be too steep to comply with ADA codes. It is especially critical at the east and west ends of the building.

Mr. Miller replied the existing entrance is flat, but the lot reaches grades that are about three and half feet lower. At the new entrance and the east entrance, the asphalt will be tapered, for safety.

Mr. Lynch asked if this would be corrected when they re-do and overlay the front driveway.

Mr. Reinberg replied yes.

Mr. Lynch asked if entry would only be allowed on the west and the exit would be on the east.

Mr. Miller replied yes, and they will angle the parking to be code compliant.

Mr. Svoboda asked if it will be 100% conditioned.

Mr. Reinberg replied yes.

Mr. Miller stated the parking lot plan has to be submitted to Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT), therefore, changes may be required.

Mr. Ortmann asked what the requirement is for their parking spaces.

Mr. Reinberg stated there is additional parking behind the gates, where employees will park. There will be an employee there from 8 A.M. to 6 P.M., seven days a week.

Mr. Miller stated the previous plan had 8,000 square feet of office space, more parking was required. The office in the revised plan is only 938 square feet. The parking spaces are made for customers coming into the office area. Most people will load and unload, parking parallel to the entry doors. A very busy storage facility has approximately 20 customers in a day.

Mr. Lynch asked if the hours of operation would be 9 A.M. to 6 P.M.

Mr. Reinberg stated the office hours are 9 A.M. to 6 P.M. Storage hours are 6 A.M. to 10 P.M.

Mr. Lynch asked if customers would have an access code to enter when no employees are present.

Mr. Reinberg replied yes, but access shuts off at 10 P.M., which is industry standard.

Mr. Lynch asked if there would be storage units accessible to customers on the second floor.

Mr. Reinberg replied yes, there are three accessible levels with storage units.

Mr. Lynch asked if there would be freight elevators.

Mr. Reinberg stated there are two heavy duty passenger elevators.

Mr. Hopfinger made a motion that P-33-16 petition for an Amended Development Plan, submitted by PSI Atlantic STL MO LLC, to allow changes to the self-storage facility at 10300 Watson Road be recommended to the Board of Aldermen for approval with the condition that the sidewalk and driveway be replaced and a full time resident is no longer required. Mr. Lynch seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

the Martyr Catholic Church, to allow the reinstallation of an electronic church bell system at 11910 Eddie & Park Road.

Jack Bilt and Alderman Steve Bersche were present. Mr. Bilt represents St. Justin the Martyr Catholic Church, where he is a charter member. The bell is broke down and not repairable. Replacement costs were not available at the time, but there is a new pastor and he is very receptive to restoring the bells. The bell system is electronic with volume settings. They can be used to mark certain religious services such as, wedding bells, funeral toll, etc. The bells will only operate during daylight hours and they will be mounted on the roof or at roof level.

Alderman Bersche stated the bells have not worked since about 1998.

Mr. Beiter asked about a time frame for installation.

Mr. Bilt stated he didn't know for sure. Many of the parts were removed during a church renovation about ten years ago. They have only received one bid so far; it is a work in progress. Next spring all cost issues will be worked out and installation will happen in late spring.

Mr. Kaiser spoke about the Lutheran Church, on Lindbergh Boulevard, and stated that Mr. Jones had advised the city they could not deny them to do this.

Mr. Jones stated yes, he did say that.

Mr. Lynch made a motion that P-34-16 petition for an Amended Conditional Use Permit, submitted by St. Justin the Martyr Catholic Church, to allow the reinstallation of an electronic church bell system at 11910 Eddie & Park Road be recommended to the Board of Aldermen for approval. Mr. Hopfinger seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Mr. Beiter asked if the Commission could address the next four items at one time.

Mr. Jones replied yes. He suggested having the petitioner present all four items and having the comments for the public hearing split into each petition.

P-35-16 Petition for Text Amendment, submitted by Pulte Homes, to amend Appendix B, Zoning Regulations, Section 4.10-12b, Planned Development-Lifestyle for various changes to the PD-LS Planned Development-Lifestyle Zoning District.

John King, Attorney representing George Despotis was present with Chris Miller, an Engineer with Stock & Associates, and Matt Segal, Vice President of Land Acquisition with Pulte Homes. Mr. King stated they will be going over some changes to the plan. There are several petitions in front of the Commission such as: 1) Text Amendment to Section 4.10-12b 2) Change of Zoning from R-2 Single Family Residential 20,000 square foot lot size and C-1 Commercial to PD-LS Planned Development Lifestyle 3) Preliminary Development Plan for mixed use single family homes and the commercial portion of the site, which will have the Credit Union on it and 4) Conditional Use Permit for the construction and operation of a drive through bank facility, as well.

Mr. King read changes that were added in regards to the Text Amendment. He stated that in paragraph (A)4 of Section 4.10-12b, there is a new paragraph that is a condition that they added to the ordinance to allow the development of this property. It states, "*Frontage of not less*

than five hundred (500) feet adjacent to the west side of Lindbergh Boulevard (Missouri Route 61/67), extended north from West Watson Road and containing not less than four (4) acres."

He stated in paragraph (B)4 of Section 4.10-12b it states, *"Proposed developments meeting the location and site area criteria as set forth in (A)4 above: Attached townhome units of not more than two (2) stories or thirty-five (35) feet in height provided that such units shall be setback from residentially zoned property by at least ten feet."*

He, then, cited paragraph (C)3 of Section 4.10-12b, *"Minimum lot size/density for detached single family dwellings: As provided for in the site plan approved by the Board of Aldermen."* They would like to add attached single family dwellings to it.

In paragraph (C)6b, states, *"Residential abutting residentially zoned property of lower density."* He stated they are requesting it be amended from 20 feet to 10 feet. In paragraph (C)6c and paragraph (C)6d he stated he would like to request them to be amended from 30 feet to 10 feet. Paragraph (C)6c states, *"Residential abutting commercially zoned property:"* and in paragraph (C)6d it states, *"Non-residential uses abutting existing or proposed residential uses."*

The last amendment is paragraph (C)8b. It states, *"For residential development components provided for in this section under the provisions of (B)2."* They would like to add sections three and four to this sentence. Mr. King read the rest of the paragraph, which states, *"An average of not more than six and one-half (6.5) units per gross acre for all portions of the development devoted to residential uses (not including any portion of the development devoted to non-residential uses). Maximum residential density for assisted living units shall be determined by the site plan approved by the Board of Aldermen."* He stated these are the amendments they are requesting in order to have this plan succeed.

Mr. Miller stated in the residential tract there are twenty proposed units on 3.8 acres with common ground and storm water treatment. The setbacks are 20 feet in the front yards and 10 feet in the rear yards. The commercial tract is 1.1 acres. The 2200 square foot credit union will have two drive up windows and an ATM. The hours of operation will be 8:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M., Monday through Thursday. On Friday, the hours will be 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. And on Saturday, the hours will be 8:30 A.M. to 12:00 P.M. The drive through will be open 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M., Monday through Friday and 7:30 A.M. to 12:00 P.M. on Saturdays. The ATM will be open 24 hours a day, seven days a week. He stated the existing commercial lot is 130 feet from an existing home on Court Dr. The proposed commercial building will be 160 feet from the nearest existing home along West Watson. Their landscape plan consists of 138 trees and 221 shrubs and large grasses. Along the west property line will be heavily developed with thick landscaping as well as a 6 foot high sight-proof fence. The photometric plan of the credit union has zero light levels. The lights would be downward casting with shields. He showed the elevations of the credit union and stated that each villa comes with a landscaping package.

Mr. Beiter asked if he had any illustrations of the four to five unit villas.

Mr. Miller replied no.

Mr. Ortmann asked if the entrance off of Lindbergh could be a right in, right out only.

Mr. Miller replied yes, they would take that into consideration. The recommendation was in the traffic study.

Mrs. Otto asked how many feet on West Watson from Lindbergh it is to their driveway.

Mr. Miller stated 300 feet from the intersection of Lindbergh and West Watson to the private drive.

Mr. Beiter stated the Commission has long been opposed to commercial development at this site. Over the years, they have made promises to the residents that they wouldn't allow commercial building on the property. After the tornado, a committee was formed to consider the reuse of this property and they decided it should be kept residential. He stated five years ago, to the date, The Planning and Zoning Commission made an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan to keep the site residential. He stated in Resolution 331, Exhibit A, it states, "The Comprehensive Plan for the City of Sunset Hills shall be amended to designate the tornado affected area, as shown on the attached map, are only residential single family or courtyard type development, or a combination of both as permitted in the zoning classification PD-RC. There will be no consideration given for Commercial Development." The Comprehensive Plan was revised in 2015 and 2016 and it states the area is to be kept residential. He stated that they have been told by the Planning and Zoning Commission that the area is to be kept residential and he doesn't see how a bank would benefit the city.

Mr. King stated they have proposed what was recommended and the existing commercial site is being relocated. He stated that the Commission is not present to follow what the residents want, but to decide what the best use for the property is.

Mrs. Otto stated in 1999, focus groups were made up of all citizens; not just a small sliver of residents.

Mr. King stated the experts that were hired to revise The Comprehensive Plan recommended the site be commercial.

Mrs. Otto replied yes they did, but The Commission didn't agree with them.

Mr. King asked what the rights of the property owners are.

Mr. Beiter stated there are two plans for this property. One is the current plan and one is a plan for just a bank, which is going in front of the Board of Aldermen. He asked which one they want to go through with.

Mr. King replied the current one.

Mrs. Otto stated in 2011, The Commission decided they would like the site to be like The Courtyards, which are individual homes and duplexes or a string.

Mr. Kaiser stated they are called attached villas.

Mr. Young stated he thinks the proposal is outstanding and they have done a good job. He does understand the economics of why they want to build the credit union. He stated that they should be proud of what they have put together.

Mr. Hopfinger stated the north end is currently commercial. He asked if they could still put a bank there.

Mr. Baker stated yes, but a Conditional Use Permit would still be required for the drive through.

Mrs. Otto asked what size the existing commercial lot is.

Mr. Segal replied about half an acre. He stated the plan is about compromise; taking the commercial use and relocating it to a location further away from the residential.

Mrs. Otto asked if they would have difficulty putting a bank on an acre of land.

Mr. King stated he did not know, but the intensity of the use could be much greater than what is being proposed.

Mr. Svoboda asked if the half an acre is commercial by court order.

Mr. Jones replied yes, the court ordered the City to re-zone the property.

Mr. Svoboda asked if the court order required it be zoned back to residential once the commercial use was gone.

Mr. Jones replied no.

Mr. Ortmann asked which is more dense, this site or the house next door.

Mr. Baker stated this site.

Mr. Lynch asked if they would be willing to upgrade the east end of Court Drive to City specifications.

Mr. Segal stated it has been discussed in a meeting. They would have to obtain easements from the residents. The residents denied that proposal.

Mr. Lynch asked if the townhome residents would be allowed to use the private street.

Mr. King stated Court Drive goes through the property, people on either side can use it.

Mr. Kaiser asked how it is determined who Court Drive belongs to.

Mr. Segal replied the existing lots have rights to Court Drive, so they are building within the confines of the existing lots.

Mr. Jones stated as a private road, it is an easement to the lots on either side. So, if those lots are transferred the rights to use Court Drive as an access, that right transfers to the subsequent owner.

Mr. Segal stated he can make the beginning of Court Drive compatible and have the City adopt them as a public street. He can widen the road because he owns those lots and then make it public.

Mr. Lynch asked if he was willing to take over Court Drive and make it compatible, so it could become public.

Mr. Segal replied yes.

Mr. Beiter stated PD-LS is intended to provide better flexibility in both types of uses to be developed and the density of the development would otherwise be permitted by the ordinance. The district is specifically intended to allow for creative residential developments or a combination of residential and office and retail commercial uses that create unique living, working, and shopping environments. He asked what is unique about the plan.

Mr. King stated that it is attached single family.

Mr. Segal stated he has received phone calls from people living in South County that say they want attached homes like these.

Mr. Beiter stated this plan is not unique, like the Courtyards.

Mr. Segal stated there is a better market for these types of homes, rather than big homes.

Glenn Streibig, of 9109 Fox Bridge Dr. was present representing 21 families in the Fox Bridge subdivision. He stated the residents have long opposed commercial developments in the tornado area. They are still opposed to the PD-LS zoning change. He feels that the property values of the existing homes will decrease. The Fox Bridge residents are concerned about traffic safety with the Credit Union. South County Tech and The First Student Bus Depot cause traffic to back up to the W. Watson and Lindbergh Boulevard intersection in the morning and afternoon. The additional traffic from the bank will exasperate the problem.

Gary Wideman, of 3720 Sunset Chase Dr. and a neighborhood Trustee was present. He stated the Lindbergh School Superintendent has said that people are having trouble finding housing in their district. He has also had people stop and ask him if he knows of any homes for sale in Sunset Hills because they would like their children to attend Lindbergh School. These families do not want attached homes. He would like Court Drive to remain non-attached single family residential.

Frank Hardy, of 421 Rayburn, was present and stated he does not live in or near the location, but he knows some of the residents in the area from when he was an Alderman. He sees that the area is starting to come back around since the tornado, but the residents are still being bombarded by developers. He would like to see the area stay residential.

Bob Courtney, of 12426 Court Drive, was present and stated he just moved in his house in May. He purchased the property because it is close to the parks and it is in the Lindbergh School District. He stated that Sunset Hills' return on a single family home is 9.9%, which is 330 business points above St. Louis' return. He stated that in the last three years Mr. Despotis has owned the property, he has already received a 30% gain. Profits are maximized with villas and he feels that they are taking advantage of a catastrophe.

Gerald Kovach, of 12432 Court Drive, was present and stated he and his wife have lived in their home for 43 years. When the portion of the site was made commercial, by court order, a driveway was not allowed to be put on Court Drive. He stated they cannot put a street in from West Watson to Court Drive without the residents consent.

Vince Lindwedel, of 12437 West Watson, was present and stated he is a building representative with Schmeerbauch Homes. They have purchased and built on sites that were in the tornado area. He is, now, living in one. He knows of nine builders that would be interested in building homes on this site and two dozen buyers interested in purchasing the homes.

Melissa Armbruster, of 5151 Mattis Road, who is not a resident was present and stated the community has spoken and they want all residential at this site. She feels there are safety issues with the traffic the bank would bring. There are already 30 lending and banking institutions in Sunset Hills. She is a real estate agent and she feels homes similar to The Courtyards would be great for the area. She stated the bank will drive away the market for homes in the area and drastically affect the home values in the area.

Ann McMunn, of 12431 Court Drive, was present and stated changing the zoning to PD-LS is their way of chipping away at developing commercially. She is concerned that their Preliminary Development Plan could change and the entire property would be turned into a Commercial Mixed Use area. She is concerned that Court Drive will be turned into a major cut through for vehicles when there is traffic. She stated that there is an association for Court Drive and they all own equal parts of it, so the developers should be required to get everyone's permission to add a public street to it.

Paul Bucherich, of 12423 West Watson, was present and stated his family recently moved in and their main goal was to be in the Lindbergh School District. He stated it is difficult to find land to build on or homes for sale in the area. The area is in high demand for homes.

Jackie Tyra, of 12440 Court Drive, was present and stated she is for single family residential at the site. She has had people stop and ask her if any of the lots by her home are for sale.

Heather Herbold, of 12437 Court Drive, was present and stated she is concerned because she has small children at the bus stop. Mr. King has threatened to take her and other residents to court, if they don't approve the plans

Mr. Ortmann made a motion that P-35-16 petition for Text Amendment, submitted by Pulte Homes, to amend Appendix B, Zoning Regulations, Section 4.10-12b, Planned Development-Lifestyle for various changes to the PD-LS Planned Development-Lifestyle Zoning District be recommended to the Board of Aldermen for denial. Mrs. Otto seconded the motion and there was a roll call vote.

Patricia Otto- Aye
Thomas Ortmann- Aye
Bill Hopfinger- Nay
Roger Kaiser- Aye
Terry Beiter- Aye
Mike Svoboda- Aye
Thomas Lynch- Aye
Steve Young- Nay
Todd Powers- Aye

There being 7 aye votes and 2 nay votes, the motion passed.

**P-36-16 Petition for Change of Zoning, submitted by Pulte Homes, from C-1
Commercial and R-2 Single Family Residential, 20,000 square foot**

minimum lot size to PD-LS Planned Development-Lifestyle at 12415 Court Drive, 12412 Court Drive, 12406 Court Drive, 3825 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 3851 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 3863 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 12405 West Watson Road, 12411 West Watson Road and 12417 West Watson Road.

Mrs. Otto made a motion that P-36-16 petition for Change of Zoning, submitted by Pulte Homes, from C-1 Commercial and R-2 Single Family Residential, 20,000 square foot minimum lot size to PD-LS Planned Development-Lifestyle at 12415 Court Drive, 12412 Court Drive, 12406 Court Drive, 3825 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 3851 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 3863 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 12405 West Watson Road, 12411 West Watson Road and 12417 West Watson Road be recommended to the Board of Aldermen for denial. Mr. Ortmann seconded the motion and there was a roll call vote.

Patricia Otto- Aye
Thomas Ortmann- Aye
Bill Hopfinger- Nay
Roger Kaiser- Aye
Terry Beiter- Aye
Mike Svoboda- Aye
Thomas Lynch- Aye
Steve Young- Nay
Todd Powers- Aye
Tom Lynch- Aye

There being 7 aye votes and 2 nay votes, the motion passed.

P-37-16 Petition for Preliminary Development Plan, submitted by Pulte Homes, for a mixed use development (attached single family dwellings and bank) at 12415 Court Drive, 12412 Court Drive, 12406 Court Drive, 3825 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 3851 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 3863 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 12405 West Watson Road, 12411 West Watson Road and 12417 West Watson Road.

Mrs. Otto made a motion that P-37-16 petition for Preliminary Development Plan, submitted by Pulte Homes, for a mixed use development (attached single family dwellings and bank) at 12415 Court Drive, 12412 Court Drive, 12406 Court Drive, 3825 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 3851 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 3863 South Lindbergh Boulevard, 12405 West Watson Road, 12411 West Watson Road and 12417 West Watson Road be recommended to the Board of Aldermen for denial. Mr. Svoboda seconded the motion and it there was a roll call vote.

Patricia Otto- Aye
Thomas Ortmann- Aye
Bill Hopfinger- Nay
Roger Kaiser- Aye
Terry Beiter- Aye
Mike Svoboda- Aye
Thomas Lynch- Aye
Steve Young- Nay
Todd Powers- Aye

There being 7 aye votes and 2 nay votes, the motion passed.

P-38-16 Petition for a Conditional Use Permit, submitted by George Despotis, for the construction and operation of a drive thru bank (MVOB), on the northwest corner of South Lindbergh Boulevard and West Watson Road, formerly known as 12405 West Watson Road.

Mrs. Otto made a motion that P-38-16 petition for a Conditional Use Permit, submitted by George Despotis, for the construction and operation of a drive thru bank (MVOB), on the northwest corner of South Lindbergh Boulevard and West Watson Road, formerly known as 12405 West Watson Road be recommended to the Board of Aldermen for denial. Mr. Ortmann seconded the motion and there was a roll call vote.

Patricia Otto- Aye
Thomas Ortmann- Aye
Bill Hopfinger- Nay
Roger Kaiser- Aye
Terry Beiter- Aye
Mike Svoboda- Aye
Thomas Lynch- Aye
Steve Young- Nay
Todd Powers- Aye

There being 7 aye votes and 2 nay votes, the motion passed.

ADJOURNMENT

Mrs. Otto made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 9:15 P.M. Mr. Svoboda seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Sarina Cape



Recording Secretary